FINAL REPORT
June 2008
ID: 908061706

Total Maximum Daily Load

Nutrients and Organic Enrichment / Low DO For White Oak Bayou

Yazoo River Basin

Bolivar and Washington Counties, Mississippi

Prepared By

Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality
Office of Pollution Control
TMDL/WLA Branch

MDEQ
PO Box 10385
Jackson, MS 39289-0385
(601) 961-5171
www.deq.state.ms.us

Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality

FOREWORD

This report has been prepared in accordance with the schedule contained within the federal consent decree dated December 22, 1998. The report contains one or more Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for water body segments found on Mississippi's 1996 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Water bodies. Because of the accelerated schedule required by the consent decree, many of these TMDLs have been prepared out of sequence with the State's rotating basin approach. The implementation of the TMDLs contained herein will be prioritized within Mississippi's rotating basin approach.

The amount and quality of the data on which this report is based are limited. As additional information becomes available, the TMDLs may be updated. Such additional information may include water quality and quantity data, changes in pollutant loadings, or changes in landuse within the watershed. In some cases, additional water quality data may indicate that no impairment exists.

Conversion Factors

To convert from	To	Multiply by	To convert from	To	Multiply by
$mile^2$	acre	640	acre	ft^2	43560
km^2	acre	247.1	days	seconds	86400
m^3	ft^3	35.3	meters	feet	3.28
ft^3	gallons	7.48	ft^3	gallons	7.48
ft^3	liters	28.3	hectares	acres	2.47
cfs	gal/min	448.8	miles	meters	1609.3
cfs	MGD	0.646	tonnes	tons	1.1
m^3	gallons	264.2	µg/l * cfs	gm/day	2.45
m^3	liters	1000	µg/l * MGD	gm/day	3.79

Fraction	Prefix	Symbol	Multiple	Prefix	Symbol
10^{-1}	deci	d	10	deka	da
10^{-2}	centi	c	10^2	hecto	h
10^{-3}	milli	m	10^3	kilo	k
10^{-6}	micro	µ	10^6	mega	M
10^{-9}	nano	n	10^9	giga	G
10^{-12}	pico	p	10^{12}	tera	T
10^{-15}	femto	f	10^{15}	peta	P
10^{-18}	atto	a	10^{18}	exa	E

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FIGURES

TABLES

TMDL INFORMATION PAGE

Table 1. Listing Information

Name	ID	County	HUC	Evaluated Cause
White Oak Bayou	MS318E	Tunica	08030204	Nutrients and Organic Enrichment / Low DO
Near Tunica from headwaters to the Coldwater River				

Table 2. Water Quality Standards

Parameter	Beneficial use	Water Quality Criteria
Nutrients	Aquatic Life Support	Waters shall be free from materials attributable to municipal, industrial, agricultural, or other dischargers producing color, odor, taste, total suspended or dissolved solids, sediment, turbidity, or other conditions, in such degree as to create a nuisance, render the waters injurious to public health, recreation, or to aquatic life and wildlife, or adversely affect the palatability of fish, aesthetic quality, or impair the waters for any designated uses.
Dissolved Oxygen	Aquatic Life Support	DO concentrations shall be maintained at a daily average of not less than 5.0 mg/l with an instantaneous minimum of not less than 4.0 mg/l. Natural conditions are defined as background water quality conditions due only to non-anthropogenic sources. The criteria herein apply specifically with regard to substances attributed to sources (discharges, nonpoint sources, or instream activities) as opposed to natural phenomena. Waters may naturally have characteristics outside the limits established by these criteria. Therefore, naturally occurring conditions that fail to meet criteria should not be interpreted as violations of these criteria.

Table 3. Total Maximum Daily Load for White Oak Bayou

	WLA lbs/day	LA lbs/day	MOS	TMDL lbs/day
Total Nitrogen	**56.77**	**1008.68***	Implicit	**1065.45**
Total Phosphorous	**27.88**	**134.47**	Implicit	**162.35**
TBODu	**153.45**	**1875.97**	Implicit	**2029.42**

*Based on a background concentration of 2 mg/l at the annual average flow, loads will be lower for flows less than the annual average

Table 4. Point Source Loads for White Oak Bayou

Permit	Facility	Flow MGD	TN Load	TP Load	TBODu
MS0042323	**Tunica POTW**	**0.44**	**41.10**	**20.18**	**110.09**
MS0024261	**White Oak SD**	**0.0528**	**4.93**	**2.42**	**13.21**
MS0039802	**Pride of Pond**	**0.055**	**5.14**	**2.52**	**15.14**
MS0032786	**Tunica Ind. Park**	**0.06**	**5.60**	**2.75**	**15.01**
			56.77	**27.88**	**153.45**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This TMDL has been developed for White Oak Bayou which was placed on the Mississippi 2006 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Water Bodies. White Oak Bayou was listed due to evaluated causes of sediment, organic enrichment / low dissolved oxygen, and nutrients. Sediment will be addressed in a separate TMDL report. This TMDL will provide an estimate of the total biochemical oxygen demand (TBODu), total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP) allowable in this water body.

Mississippi does not have water quality standards for allowable nutrient concentrations. MDEQ currently has a Nutrient Task Force (NTF) working on the development of criteria for nutrients. An annual concentration of 1.05 mg/l is an applicable target for TN and 0.16 mg/l for TP for water bodies located in the west side of the Delta. MDEQ is presenting these preliminary target values for TMDL development which are subject to revision after the development of numeric nutrient criteria.

The White Oak Bayou Watershed is located in HUC 08030204. The listed portion of White Oak Bayou is at Tunica. The location of the watershed for the listed segment is shown in Figure 1.

The White Oak Bayou Watershed WASP model indicated that the impairment is due to nutrients from nonpoint sources. The limited nutrient data and estimated existing ecoregion concentrations indicate reductions of nutrients can be accomplished with installation of best management practices.



Figure 1. White Oak Bayou

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The identification of water bodies not meeting their designated use and the development of total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) for those water bodies are required by Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Water Quality Planning and Management Regulations (40 CFR part 130). The TMDL process is designed to restore and maintain the quality of those impaired water bodies through the establishment of pollutant specific allowable loads. This TMDL has been developed for the 2006 §303(d) listed segment shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. White Oak Bayou §303(d) Listed Segment

1.2 Listing History

The impaired segment was listed due to evaluating the watershed for potential impairment. There is limited data available in the watershed.

There are no state criteria in Mississippi for nutrients. These criteria are currently being developed by the Mississippi Nutrient Task Force in coordination with EPA Region 4. MDEQ proposed a work plan for nutrient criteria development that has been mutually agreed upon with EPA Region 4 and is on schedule according to the approved timeline for development of nutrient criteria (MDEQ, 2007).

1.3 Applicable Water Body Segment Use

The water use classifications are established by the State of Mississippi in the document *State of Mississippi Water Quality Criteria for Intrastate, Interstate, and Coastal Waters* (MDEQ, 2007). The designated beneficial use for the listed segments is Fish and Wildlife.

1.4 Applicable Water Body Segment Standards

The water quality standard applicable to the use of the water body and the pollutant of concern is defined in the *State of Mississippi Water Quality Criteria for Intrastate, Interstate, and Coastal Waters* (MDEQ, 2007). Mississippi's current standards contain a narrative criteria that can be applied to nutrients which states "*Waters shall be free from materials attributable to municipal, industrial, agricultural, or other discharges producing color, odor, taste, total suspended or dissolved solids, sediment, turbidity, or other conditions in such degree as to create a nuisance, render the waters injurious to public health, recreation, or to aquatic life and wildlife, or adversely affect the palatability of fish, aesthetic quality, or impair the waters for any designated use* (MDEQ, 2007)."

The standard for dissolved oxygen states, "DO concentrations shall be maintained at a daily average of not less than 5.0 mg/l with an instantaneous minimum of not less than 4.0 mg/l." In addition, the State water quality standard regulations include a natural condition clause which will be used to determine the appropriate DO for White Oak under critical conditions. Natural conditions are defined as background water quality conditions due only to non-anthropogenic sources. The criteria herein apply specifically with regard to substances attributed to sources (discharges, nonpoint sources, or instream activities) as opposed to natural phenomena. Waters may naturally have characteristics outside the limits established by these criteria. Therefore, naturally occurring conditions that fail to meet criteria should not be interpreted as violations of these criteria.

1.5 Nutrient Target Development

In the 1999 Protocol for Developing Nutrient TMDLs, EPA suggests several methods for the development of numeric criteria for nutrients (USEPA, 1999). In accordance with the 1999 Protocol, "*The target value for the chosen indicator can be based on: comparison to similar but unimpaired waters; user surveys; empirical data summarized in classification systems; literature values; or professional judgment*."

Numeric nutrient criteria are not currently available for Delta streams. Biotic indices such as the MBISQ index used to assess attainment of aquatic life use in streams in other parts of Mississippi are also not available for the Delta. Therefore, a percentile approach has been used to suggest nutrient targets applicable for Delta streams, following the approach suggested by EPA (EPA, 2000).

USGS data were partitioned into eastern and western nutrient distributions. USGS nutrient data for the western portion of the Delta were combined with MDEQ's WADES nutrient data. These two data distributions were used to derive the nutrient concentration associated with the lower quartile following procedures similar to those used by EPA (2000) in developing nutrient criteria

recommendations for rivers and streams. The lower quartile nutrient concentrations associated with these data sets are shown in the Table 4 below.

For this TMDL, MDEQ is presenting preliminary targets for TN and TP. An annual concentration 1.05 mg/l is an applicable target for TN and 0.16 mg/l for TP for water bodies located in the western portion of the Delta. However, MDEQ is presenting these preliminary target values for TMDL development which are subject to revision after the development of nutrient criteria, when the work of the NTF is complete.

Table 5. Nutrient Targets for the Delta Wadeable Streams

Lower Quartile Values		
Nutrient Conc. (mg/l)	East (USGS)	West (WADES/USGS)
TP	0.09	0.16
TN	0.58	1.05

WATER BODY ASSESSMENT

2.1 Water Quality Data

There are limited data available for White Oak Bayou.

2.2 Assessment of Point Sources

There are four NPDES point source in the watershed included in the TMDL. The other NPDES permits in the watershed did not discharge pollutants associated with this TMDL. The relevant permits are shown in Table 6.

Table 6. NPDES Permits included in the TMDL

Permit	Facility	Flow MGD	BOD Limit
MS0042323	Tunica POTW	0.44	30 mg/L
MS0024261	White Oak SD	0.0528	30 mg/L
MS0039802	Pride of Pond	0.055	33 mg/L
MS0032786	Tunica Ind. Park	0.06	30 mg/L

2.3 Assessment of Non-Point Sources

Non-point loading of nutrients and organic material in a water body results from the transport of the pollutants into receiving waters by overland surface runoff, groundwater infiltration, and atmospheric deposition. The two primary nutrients of concern are nitrogen and phosphorus. Total nitrogen is a combination of many forms of nitrogen found in the environment. Inorganic nitrogen can be transported in particulate and dissolved phases in surface runoff. Dissolved inorganic nitrogen can be transported in groundwater and may enter a water body from groundwater infiltration. Finally, atmospheric gaseous nitrogen may enter a water body from atmospheric deposition.

Unlike nitrogen, phosphorus is primarily transported in surface runoff when it has been sorbed by eroding sediment. Phosphorus may also be associated with fine-grained particulate matter in the atmosphere and can enter streams as a result of dry fallout and rainfall (USEPA, 1999). However, phosphorus is typically not readily available from the atmosphere or the natural water supply (Davis and Cornwell, 1988). As a result, phosphorus is typically the limiting nutrient in most non-point source dominated rivers and streams, with the exception of watersheds which are dominated by agriculture and have high concentrations of phosphorus contained in the surface runoff due to fertilizers and animal excrement or watersheds with naturally occurring soils which are rich in phosphorus (Thomann and Mueller, 1987).

Watersheds with a large number of failing septic tanks may also deliver significant loadings of phosphorus to a water body. All domestic wastewater contains phosphorus which comes from humans and the use of phosphate containing detergents. Table 6 presents the estimated loads

from various land use types in the Delta based on information from USDA ARS Sedimentation Laboratory. (Shields, et. al., 2008)

The watershed contains mainly cropland but also has different landuse types, including urban, water, and wetlands. The land use information for the watershed is based on the National Land Cover Database (NLCD). Cropland is the dominant landuse within this watershed. The landuse distribution for the White Oak Bayou Watershed is shown in Table 6 and Figure 3. By multiplying the landuse category size by the estimated nutrient load, the watershed specific estimate can be calculated. Table 6 presents the estimated loads, the target loads, and the reductions needed to meet the TMDLs.



Figure 3. White Oak Bayou Watershed Landuse

2.4 Estimated Existing Load for Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus

The average annual flow in the watershed was calculated by utilizing the flow vs. watershed area graph shown in Figure 4 below. All available gages were compared to the watershed size. A very strong correlation between flow and watershed size was developed for the Delta. The equation for the line that best fits the data was then used to estimate the annual average flow for the White Oak Bayou watershed. The TMDL target TN and TP loads were then calculated, using Equation 1 and the results are shown in Table 7.

Figure 4. Delta Drainage Area to Flow Comparison



Nutrient Load (lb/day) = Flow (cfs) * 5.394 (conversion factor)* Nutrient Concentration (mg/L) (Equation 1)

Table 7. TMDL Calculations and Watershed Sizes

Water body	**White Oak Bayou**			**Water**	**Urban**	**Scrub/Barren**	**Forest**	**Pasture/Grass**	**Cropland**	**Wetland**	**Total**
		Acres	2954.1	4485.0	34.5	388.7	61.6	64179.8	10128.3	82232	
Land Use	**TN kg/mile^2**	Percent	3.59%	5.45%	0.04%	0.47%	0.07%	78.05%	12.32%	100.00%	
Forest	111.3	Miles^2 in watershed	4.6	7.0	0.1	0.6	0.1	100.3	15.8	128.5	
Pasture	777.0	Flow in cfs based on area	188.1	cfs							
Cropland	10956.2										
Urban	287.8	TN Load kg/mi^2 annual avg	259.0	287.8	111.3	111.3	777.0	10956.2	259.0		
Water	259.0	TP Load kg/mi^2 annual avg	259.0	4.3	61.3	61.3	1295.0	5490.9	259.0		
Wetland	259.0										
aquaculture	2590.0	TN Load kg/day	3.3	5.5	0.0	0.2	0.2	3010.1	11.2	3030.6	kg/day
		TP Load kg/day	3.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	1508.6	11.2	1523.6	kg/day
Land Use	**TP kg/mile^2**										
Forest	61.3	TN target concentration	1.05	mg/l							
Pasture	1295.0	TP target concentration	0.16	mg/l							
Cropland	5490.9										
Urban	4.3	TN estimated concentration	6.58	mg/l							
Water	259.0	TP estimated concentration	3.31	mg/l							
Wetland	259.0										
aquaculture	2590.0	TN target load	1065.45	lbs/day							
		TP target load	162.35	lbs/day							
		TBODu target load	2029.42	lbs/day							
		TN estimated load per day	6681.25	lbs/day							
		TP estimated load per day	3359.02	lbs/day							
		TN reduction needed	84.05%								
		TP reduction needed	95.17%								

Point Source WLA Loads

Permit	Facility	Flow MGD	TN Load	TP Load	TBODu
42323	Tunica POTW	0.44	41.10	20.18	110.09
24261	White Oak SD	0.0528	4.93	2.42	13.21
39802	Pride of Pond	0.055	5.14	2.52	15.14
32786	Tunica Ind Park	0.06	5.60	2.75	15.01
			56.77	27.88	153.45

The land use calculations are based on 2004 data. The nutrient estimates are based on USDA ARS. The TMDL targets are based on EPA guidance for calculation of targets when considering all available data.

WATERSHED MODELING

3.1 WASP Model Description and Setup

MDEQ utilized the Water Quality Analysis Simulation Program (WASP7) to study the nutrient and organic loading in the watershed. WASP7 is an enhancement of the original WASP (Di Toro et al., 1983; Connolly and Winfield, 1984; Ambrose, R.B. et al., 1988). This model helps users interpret and predict water quality responses to natural phenomena and manmade pollution for various pollution management decisions. WASP is a dynamic compartment-modeling program for aquatic systems, including both the water column and the underlying benthos. WASP allows the user to investigate 1, 2, and 3 dimensional systems, and a variety of pollutant types. The time varying processes of advection, dispersion, point and diffuse mass loading and boundary exchange are represented in the model. WASP also can be linked with hydrodynamic and sediment transport models that can provide flows, depths velocities, temperature, salinity and sediment fluxes (http://www.epa.gov/athens/wwqtsc/html/wasp.html).

The model setup, parameters and constants used, and model output are described in detail in the Modeling Report for White Oak Bayou (MS318E) (USEPA, 2008).

3.2 Model Results

The White Oak Bayou watershed model was assembled to simulate the current condition including the estimated loads of TN, TP, and TBODu both from point sources and from nonpoint sources. The output from the model was compared to available data for chlorophyll-a and gave a reasonable result. This scenario documents the low dissolved oxygen levels expected during critical low flow conditions.

The natural condition modeling scenarios, with and without point sources use the following assumptions: 1) the sediment oxygen demand (SOD) is reduced to the lower end of the values observed for the ecoregion 73, 0.7 grams DO per square meter/day (existing condition SOD is 1.7 grams per square meter/day) and 2) the nutrient loads for TN and TP are equal to the TMDL target loads. One scenario has no point source loads and the other includes the point sources at design flow and permitted loads. This natural condition scenario indicates that a significant improvement in the dissolved oxygen profile can be achieved by reducing the nonpoint nutrient loads as well as the sediment oxygen demand. However, the dissolved oxygen criteria of 5.0 mg/l daily average and 4.0 mg/l instantaneous minimum are not achievable. Therefore, the State natural conditions provision of the water quality standards and the permitting regulations for dystrophic waters will be used to address the point source impacts to White Oak Bayou.

The model output shown in Figure 5 is the simulated dissolved oxygen for the three model scenarios in a segment downstream of the point sources. The black line indicates the current condition which includes the estimated existing nutrient load, the allowable point source loads for TN, TP and TBOD, and an SOD of 1.7 grams DO per square meter/day. The red dashed line indicates the natural condition with no point sources and the nonpoint source nutrient loads set at the allowable ecoregion nutrient loads and the reduction to the sediment oxygen demand that would accompany the reductions, 0.7 grams DO per square meter/day. The blue dotted line indicates the natural condition ***with*** point sources. Based on an analysis of the model scenarios,

the dissolved oxygen concentrations associated with natural conditions are expected to be attained with the addition of the existing point sources. This finding demonstrates the existing point sources do not significantly affect the instream dissolved oxygen concentrations. However, there is a significant improvement in water quality when the nonpoint nutrient loads are reduced to acceptable ecoregion loading levels as observed the by the comparison of the existing load, the black line in Figure 5 to the natural condition with point sources, the dashed blue line. Therefore, nonpoint sources and not the point source contributions are the critical component to control to improve the water quality in White Oak Bayou.

Figure 5. Graph of Model Output – Dissolved Oxygen in one segment



ALLOCATION

4.1 Wasteload Allocation

Given the relative size of the WLA in comparison to the TMDL and the LA and the results of the modeling, the WLAs are not considered to be significant in this watershed and no reductions to the WLA are needed. Future permits will be considered in accordance with Mississippi's *Wastewater Regulations for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits, Underground Injection Control (UIC) Permits, State Permits, Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Certification*(1994).

4.2 Load Allocation

Best management practices (BMPs) should be encouraged in the watersheds to reduce potential TBODu, TN, and TP loads from non-point sources. The LA for TBODu, TN, and TP was calculated by subtracting the WLA from the TMDL. For land disturbing activities related to silvaculture, construction, and agriculture, it is recommended that practices, as outlined in "Mississippi's BMPs: Best Management Practices for Forestry in Mississippi" (MFC, 2000), "Planning and Design Manual for the Control of Erosion, Sediment, and Stormwater" (MDEQ, et. al, 1994), and "Field Office Technical Guide" (NRCS, 2000), be followed, respectively.

4.3 Incorporation of a Margin of Safety

The margin of safety is a required component of a TMDL and accounts for the uncertainty about the relationship between pollutant loads and the quality of the receiving water body. The two types of MOS development are to implicitly incorporate the MOS using conservative model assumptions or to explicitly specify a portion of the total TMDL as the MOS. The MOS selected for this model is implicit.

4.4 Calculation of the TMDL

The WASP model was not used to calculate the TMDL. Equation 1 was used to calculate the TMDL for TP and TN. The target concentration was used with the average flow for the watershed to determine the nutrient TMDLs. The LA portion of the TBODu TMDL was calculated by setting the background TBODu concentration to 2.0 mg/l and using Equation 1 and subtracting the WLA to find the load. Therefore, the TBODu LA is based on a background concentration of 2 mg/l at the annual average flow. However, the TBODu LA loads will be lower for flows less than the annual average. The existing point sources are a minor contributor to the nutrient and organic enrichment load in the watershed. The allocations in the TMDL are established to attain the applicable water quality standards.

Table 8. TMDL Loads

	WLA lbs/day	LA lbs/day	MOS	TMDL lbs/day
Total Nitrogen	**56.77**	**1008.68**	Implicit	**1065.45**
Total Phosphorous	**27.88**	**134.47**	Implicit	**162.35**
TBODu	**153.45**	**1875.97**	Implicit	**2029.42**

The nutrient TMDL loads were then compared to the estimated existing loads previously calculated. An 84.05% reduction in TN loading and a 95.17% reduction in TP loading is recommended. Best management practices are encouraged in this watershed to reduce the nonpoint nutrient loads.

4.5 Seasonality and Critical Condition

The WASP model was set up to run for two years. This gave a good representation of all seasons. The critical period was selected to show in Figure 5. This TMDL accounts for seasonal variability by requiring allocations that ensure year-round protection of water quality standards, including during critical conditions.

CONCLUSION

Nutrients were addressed through an estimate of a preliminary total phosphorous concentration target and a preliminary total nitrogen concentration target. Based on the estimated existing and target total phosphorous concentrations, this TMDL recommends a 95.33% reduction of the nonpoint phosphorous loads entering these water bodies to meet the preliminary target of 0.16 mg/l. Based on the estimated existing and target total nitrogen concentrations, this TMDL recommends an 84.56 % reduction of the nonpoint nitrogen loads entering these water bodies to meet the preliminary target of 1.05 mg/l. Based on the relative size of the load from the point sources in the watershed and the modeling results, no further reduction in required to the WLA. The implementation of BMP activities should reduce the nutrient load entering the creeks. This will provide improved water quality for organic enrichment and the support of aquatic life in the water bodies, and will result in the attainment of the applicable water quality standards.

5.1 Public Participation

This TMDL will be published for a 30-day public notice. During this time, the public will be notified by publication in the statewide newspaper. The public will be given an opportunity to review the TMDLs and submit comments. MDEQ also distributes all TMDLs at the beginning of the public notice to those members of the public who have requested to be included on a TMDL mailing list. Anyone wishing to become a member of the TMDL mailing list should contact Kay Whittington at Kay_Whittington@deq.state.ms.us.

All comments should be directed to Kay_Whittington@deq.state.ms.us or Kay Whittington, MDEQ, PO Box 10385, Jackson, MS 39289. All comments received during the public notice period and at any public hearings become a part of the record of this TMDL and will be considered in the submission of this TMDL to EPA Region 4 for final approval.

REFERENCES

Davis and Cornwell. 1988. *Introduction to Environmental Engineering*. McGraw-Hill.

MDEQ. 2007. *Mississippi's Plan for Nutrient Criteria Development*. Office of Pollution Control.

MDEQ. 2007. *State of Mississippi Water Quality Criteria for Intrastate, Interstate, and Coastal Waters*. Office of Pollution Control.

MDEQ. 1994. *Wastewater Regulations for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits, Underground Injection Control (UIC) Permits, State Permits, Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Certification.* Office of Pollution Control.

Metcalf and Eddy, Inc. 1991. *Wastewater Engineering: Treatment, Disposal, and Reuse 3rd ed.* New York: McGraw-Hill.

MFC. 2000. *Mississippi's BMPs: Best Management Practices for Forestry in Mississippi*. Publication # 107.

NRCS. 2000. *Field Office Technical Guide Transmittal No. 61*.

Shields, F.D. Jr., Cooper, C.M., Testa, S. III, Ursic, M.E., 2008. *Nutrient Transport in the Yazoo River Basin, Mississippi*. USDA ARS National Sedimentation Labortory, Oxford, Mississippi.

Telis, Pamela A. 1992. *Techniques for Estimating 7-Day, 10-Year Low Flow Characteristics for Ungaged Sites on Water bodys in Mississippi*. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 91-4130.

Thomann and Mueller. 1987. *Principles of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control*. New York: Harper Collins.

USEPA. 1997. *Technical Guidance Manual for Developing Total Maximum Daily Loads, Book 2: Streams and Rivers, Part 1: Biochemical Oxygen Demand/Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrients/ Eutrophication*. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C. EPA 823-B-97-002.

USEPA. 1999. *Protocol for Developing Nutrient TMDLs*. EPA 841-B-99-007. Office of Water (4503F), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C. 135 pp.

USEPA. 2000. Nutrient Criteria Technical Guidance Manual Rivers and Streams. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C. EPA-822-B-00-002.

USEPA. 2008. Modeling Report for White Oak Bayou (MS318E). United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Atlanta, GA.